


ACER

 Agency for the Cooperation
of Energy Regulators

Transparency project

NMa

12th IG meeting GRI NW

08/03/2012

Transparency Requirements Amended Chapter 3 of Annex 1 of Regulation 715/2009

- **The transparency requirements focus on**

Format

Accessibility ,
frequency and
user-friendliness
of information

Content

Key documents
and information
that must be
published

Scope

Relevant points
where
requirements are
applicable

- **Information relates to**

Technical Information

Technical capacity of flows,
contracted and available
capacity, nominations and re-
nominations, interruptions

Balancing Information

Preliminary imbalance
volumes, day-ahead
forecasts, daily information on
aggregated capacity, and
more



Current GRI NW transparency project (1)

- Proposed by stakeholders at November 2011 GRI NW Stakeholder Group meeting
- Objectives:
 - Monitor compliance of TSOs against the 3rd Package transparency requirements (“Transparency Annex”)
 - Consult the market whether data has been published in appropriate manner
- Rationale:
 - Regional approach could deliver benefits in terms of consistency and efficiency and support enforcement work of relevant authorities



Current GRI NW transparency project (2)

- The 25 TSOs of the North West region were asked to provide their valuation on their own state of compliance against the transparency requirements.
- For this purpose, TSOs were given a questionnaire that checks all the requirements in detail.
- All TSOs have responded and completed the questionnaire.
- The questionnaires are now published on ACER's website, and stakeholders are invited to comment on the findings.
- We will publish conclusions at the end of the consultation period.



Initial thoughts on how to use the received responses

**General comments
for improvements**

- Used to elaborate conclusions report and drafting of summary of responses

**Response to
compliance of a
specific TSO**

- Specific responses will be send to applicable NRA
- Responsibility of NRA to decide what to do next

**Feedback on
summary table**

- Review of comments to understand this in more detail

Initial results (no conclusions are being drawn in this presentation)

- Transparency has improved in recent years, but improvements can be made

(Improvement
of) publishing
historical data

Some information
only available
on portals that
require log-on

Information (usually)
free of charge

Different data
on opposite
sites of an IP

Demand for
standardisation of
data publication

Provide explanatory
note if TSO is aware of
problems with data

ACER



Agency for the Cooperation
of Energy Regulators

Investment project

CRE

12th IG meeting GRI NW

08/03/2012

Preliminary thoughts from RCC on the GRIP

Positive acknowledgement

- Updated database for demand and supply projections and for the list of FID – non FID projects in the region with a focus on IPs
- Identification of the appropriate IPs influenced by TSOs projects
- Represents an additional opportunity for the TSOs to foster their coordination on investment needs at IPs
- The RCC welcomes the public consultation process
- Learning by doing process

Preliminary thoughts from RCC on the GRIP Room for improvements

- Deeper understanding of cross-border congestions:
 - In the EU TYNDP 2011-2020, cross-border congestions were identified in the reference scenario in Denmark-Sweden and Luxembourg and in France in the market integration scenario
 - No additional details are given in the GRIP on these potential congestions and their potential remedies
- Include a modelling exercise in order to simulate the resilience of the system in situations of disruptions or to evaluate market integration
- More infrastructure-based analysis?
- Improve the interaction with stakeholders
 - Stakeholders involvement since the beginning of the process (not only after the publication) in order to obtain efficient results and take into account market expectations

Next steps

- Proposal of drafting a letter to the coordinating TSO with RCC feedback on the GRIP (30 March 2012).
- April: Telco with TSOs – RCC on RCC feedback to discuss way forward

Thank you for your attention!

Marie-claire.aoun@cre.fr

Carole.mathieu@cre.fr

Legislative background / ACER role

*TSOs shall establish a **regional cooperation** within ENTSOG. TSOs shall publish a **regional investment plan** every two years, and may take **investment decisions** based on that regional plan (Article 12, Regulation n°715/2009)*

*The Community-wide TYNDP shall build on **national investment plans**, taking into account **regional investment plans** (Article 8 – Regulation n°715/2009)*

Role of ACER

- *The Agency shall **monitor the regional cooperation** of TSOs referred to in Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 715/2009, and take due account of the outcome of that cooperation when formulating its opinions, recommendations and decisions.*
- **Deliverables by ACER (Work Programme 2012):**
 - Regional Investment Plans will complete the EU TYNDP → Complementary opinion could be necessary.
 - Recommendations to TSOs, NRAs or other competent bodies based on monitoring the implementation of Community-wide Network Development Plan and investigation of reasons for inconsistencies with the national/regional Ten-Year Network development Plans.